

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,556	10/17/2001	Arnold G. Slezak	P1535US01	6786	
7590 11/07/2006		•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Fellers, Snider, et al			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D		
Bank One Towe	er				
100 N. Broadwa	ay,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ste. 1700			3729		
Oklahoma City,	OK 73102-8820			_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/981,556	SLEZAK, ARNOLD G.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
A. Dexter Tugbang	3729		

before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED PAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below that the issue of new matter) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.			(DTOL 204)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See allached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5-9 and 21.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-20,25-33</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			ļ					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 		n condition for allowar	ice because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
		NIU	////					
		A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner						

Application/Control Number: 09/981,556

Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

Attachment to Advisory Action

I. Proposed Amendment

With respect to the proposed after final amendment filed on October 20, 2006, the new limitations now being added to Claim 1 (at lines 2-3) for the very first time, narrow the scope of the claims significantly enough that it would raise new issues requiring further consideration and search by the examiner.

II Restriction Requirement

As to the applicant(s) duly noted arguments regarding the restriction requirement filed in the after final response of October 20, 2006, the examiner has addressed this traversal in the examiner remarks of the previous Office Actions mailed on March 1, 2006 and August 22, 2006.

III. Letter Requesting Interview

As to the applicant(s) request for an After Final Interview, the letter dated October 20, 2006 does not set forth what issues need to be clarified for appeal as the examiner would like to see this formally in writing by the applicant(s). See MPEP § 713.09.